Interview Summary

Application No. **09/265,363**

Applicant

Aral et al.

Examiner

Raymond N. Phan

Group Art Unit 2781



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):
(1) <u>Raymond N. Phan - Examiner</u> (3) <u>Mr. Masaichi Aoyagi - Hitachi Rep</u>
2) <u>Mr. Melvin Kraus (Reg # 22,466)</u> (4)
Date of Interview Jun 16, 2000
Гуре: XTelephonic [Bersonal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes 📆. If yes, brief description:
Agreement Xwas reached. Was not reached.
Claim(s) discussed: 1-26
dentification of prior art discussed: Sawdon and Hibino et al.
sawdon and Thibino et al.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST DEFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS NTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.
Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.
Raymodyke
Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.